Historical Russian Grammar. Slavic 2702
Term: Fall 2012
Course meets: MW, CL1432, 2:30-3:45
Instructor: Oscar Swan
Address: 1419a CL
email swan@pitt.edu
Office Hours: any day after class, or by appointment.

This course is NOT about early Russian culture, civilization, and history, or about Russian religious thought – although every effort was made to select Old Russian texts that are interesting and informative in this regard. Instead it is a course that examines how the modern Russian language evolved from the language of 11th East Slavic in both its sound inventory and in its grammatical dimension.

Course Prerequisites. Participants hopefully will have a good knowledge of Russian and familiarity with basic linguistic concepts and related terminology such as: tense, aspect, case, nominal phrase functions, phoneme, allophone, morpheme, allomorph, affix, suffix, prefix, ending, and others as needed. A decent glossary of linguistic terms that can be applied to Russian may be found in the back of the instructor’s *Russian Sounds and Inflections* (Columbus, Ohio: Bloomington, Indiana: Slavica, 2011)

Course Goals. The course aims to provide an overview of the changes in the Russian language, in both phonology, morphology and, to a lesser extent, syntax as these things developed from the earliest attested 11th century documents to the beginning of the 17th century, the termini being the *Ostromirovo Evangelie* of 1096 and the *Zitie protopopa Avvakuma* (1620-1682) im samim napisannnoe. The period from the 11th-14th centuries, coinciding largely with the literature of Old Russ, may be termed “Old Russian”, while the period from the 15th-early 17th century, by and large reflecting the Muscovite period, may be called “Middle Russian”. Beyond that, from the beginning of the 18th century, one has “Modern Russian”.

Overview of the Subject. Students of the history of the Russian language are fortunate to have access to 900+ years of Russian writing, including an exceedingly rich trove of writing from the earliest times. These writings consist, obviously, of religious writing: bible translations, liturgies, sermons, and saints’ lives -- but also of chronicles, secular tales, epic narratives, travel literature, codes of laws and of conduct, alongside records of language used for everyday correspondence and for various official purposes. Especially fortunate for the student of medieval Russian is the fact that an exceedingly logical and, for the most part, consistent orthography is in effect from the earliest times and barely differs from the orthography used in the language today. Students will find most Old Russian words to be the same as, similar to, or guessable from, the words of modern Russian

Questions to be asked and answered in a course on historical Russian grammar may be divided into “big” and “little” ones, but most are of the form: What is the situation with X in Old Russian, and what is its situation in Modern Russian, and what stages
led to the change from one state to the other? Others can assume the form: “What were the repercussions of historical change Y on the phonological (or grammatical) structure of Russian?”

Among “big” questions to be answered – the sort of question one might expect on a final written examination – are:

1. The repercussions of the loss of the Common Slavic nasal vowels on the morphology of modern Russian.
2. The consequences for Russian phonology and morphology of the “fall of the jers”.
3. The consequences for Russian phonology and morphology of the change of /e/ to /o/ before hard consonants, and the subsequent merger of jat’ with /e/.
4. The development of the Russian tense/aspect system.
5. Historical developments in Russian nominal inflection, from the beginning to modern times.

“Little” questions usually focus on some aspect of one of the “big” question, for example:

What was the fate of:
- the historical consonant stems
- the historical masculine i-stems
- the historical masculine u-stems
- the verb ‘be’
- the perfect tenses
- the imperfect tense, or the aorist
- the imperfective auxiliary budu
- the gerunds, whether perfective or imperfective
- the instrumental of predicate noun and of second object
- developments in noun gender and subgender (animate vs. inanimate)
- developments in the expression of possession

and so on.

**Resources.** This is a text-based course, but it is not primarily a course on textological analysis. Consequently, we will read texts in a standardized orthography, and with missing or unclear words filled in. Despite the grammatical orientation of the course, for the most part we will read texts that are interesting by virtue of their content, not only because of the linguistic interest they present. Most texts to be read in this course may be found at:

a) [http://lib.pushkinskijdom.ru/Default.aspx?tabid=2070](http://lib.pushkinskijdom.ru/Default.aspx?tabid=2070) volumes 1-12. One reason you may prefer this site as a source is that it offers translations of texts into modern Russian which will help you to understand the Old Russian texts.
b) You may also feel free to read translations of texts into English from any source, but the richest collection is Zenkovsky, Serge, *Medieval Russia’s Epics, Chronicles and Tales*, available, for example, through Amazon.

c) Most texts read in standard courses on Old Russian literature may be found in the iLibrary of the University of Pittsburgh’s Russian Dictionary at: [http://polish.slavic.pitt.edu/russian/](http://polish.slavic.pitt.edu/russian/) These texts are to a considerable extent linked to and interactive with the site’s dictionaries. NOTE: UNFORTUNATELY, THE ILIBRARY IS CURRENTLY DISCONNECTED AS THE DICTIONARY IS BEING MOVED OVER TO THE UNIVERSITY SERVER.

The required textbooks for this course are:

d) Borkovskij, V.I. and Kuznecov, P.S. (B&K, 1963) *Istoričeskaja grammatika russkogo jazyka* (Moscow: Akademija Nauk, available in reprint at the Book Center. This has been the standard work on the topic, containing the standard analyses of the problems raised in such a course, ever since it was published.


g) Lunt, Horace G. (1987). “On the relationship of Old Church Slavonic to the language of early Rus’”, *Russian Linguistics* 11 (1987), pp.133-162. This article is a good review of the ways in which Old Russian differs from Old Church Slavic, and it examines the degree to which, in the 11th century, these were two different languages. CVlass handout.

h) My recent paper on the development of the Russian imperfective future tense will be of interest for its specific topic, I think, but it should also provide a good overview of the history of the Russian tense/aspect categories from Old to Modern Russian: Swan, Oscar E (2012) “Why <budu>?”*, *Russian Linguistics* 36, No. 3. Class handout.

Recommended background reading:

h) A good overview of the history of the literary language from the XI-XVII centuries is V.V. Vinogradov *Osnovnye etapy istorii russkogo jazyka*, which may be found at: [http://www.philology.ru/linguistics2/vinogradov-78a.htm](http://www.philology.ru/linguistics2/vinogradov-78a.htm)

i) An excellent introduction to Slavic philology, the history of the Slavs, and the origin of Slavic and East Slavic writing, may be found in Alexander Schenker, *The Dawn of Slavic* (Yale University Press).
In addition to the above, the following books have been placed on 2-hour reserve in Hillman library (* = recommended):


Ivanov, V.V. *Istoričeskaja grammatika russkogo jazyka*. PG21101.I88.1990
Matthews, William K. *Russian Historical Grammar*. PG2101.M442
Sokolsky, A. A. *A History of the Russian Language*. PG2075

Course Expectations.

§ Tests and assignments in this course will be open-book with a time limit. You will not be asked to reproduce specific grammatical forms, but you will need to recognize them passively. The more time you spend in the beginning memorizing such things as inventories of sounds, lists of grammatical endings, and rules of sound-changes, the easier your work will be as the course progresses.

§ Listen to lectures on “big questions” and either understand them or ask questions on them until you do understand them. Find and read corresponding sections in B&K or other works relating to the “big questions” until you would be able to answer questions on them on a written test.

§ Read, understand, and be able to translate and comment grammatically on the assigned texts. By ‘comment grammatically’ is meant: be able to identify forms and tell, in rigorous terms, what their function is in the sentence in which they occur.

§ Be able to comment on a text, whether known or unknown, as to its characteristic innovative or archaic features. Be able on such basis to identify the approximate date of a text on the basis of its features.

§ Presentation. Each person in the course will choose, write a report on (approximately five pages), and give a classroom presentation on, a “small” topic of Russian historical grammar (see the list of suggestions above, to which you are free to add, with the instructor’s permission).

Examinations and Grading. There will be a midterm and a final examination. The grade will be determined as follows: midterm 20%, presentation 20%, final 30%, classwork: 30%.
Tentative order of assignments and material to be covered.

During the first several weeks we will review the Old Russian sound system and its system of nominal, pronominal, adjectival, and verbal inflection. As soon as reasonably possible we will begin to read and comment on Old Russian texts. Generally speaking, a text will be divided into parts, with each student being responsible for translating and commenting on (primarily linguistically) the text's characteristics. Sometime during the initial weeks of the course, students should choose a given “small topic” on which to deliver a classroom presentation, and on which to write a short descriptive paper.

The weeks following the introductory ones will generally be devoted to a given “big question”, consisting of lecture, reading assignments in Borkovskij and Kuznecov, and classroom discussion. The final weeks of the course will be devoted both to readings and student classroom presentations. Lectures on “smaller questions” may be given at any time, the choice to be determined to an extent by which topics are chosen by students for classroom presentation. Textual selections are subject to change.

Week 1. Introduction to course. The OR sound system. B&K 44-57. Introduction to nominal inflection.
Week 3. Verb conjugation. B&K 251-272. PVL: Mest’ Ol’gi
Week 4. The nasal vowels, their loss, and repercussions for the phonological and morphological system. Ostromirovo Evangelie
Week 5. The “fall of the jers” and the repercussions for the phonological and morphological systems. B&K 97-128 skimming. PVL: Oslepenie Vasil’ka.
Week 6. The change of ‘e’ to ‘o’ before hard consonants, and the subsequent merger of jat’ with /e/, and the effects on the phonological and morphological systems. B&K 128-133, 135-139. Zitie Feodosija, igumena pecerskogo
Week 10. Student presentations. Povest’ o razorenii Rjazani Batyem
Week 11. Student presentations. Povest’ o Petre i Fevronii
Week 12. Student presentations. Domostroj.
Week 13. Student presentations. Zitie Protopopa Avvakuma

Pool of possible texts to be examined (your own recommendations will be willingly entertained):

XI-XII CC
Ostromirovo evangelie
PVL.Mest’ OI’gi
PVL. Osleplenie Vasil’ka
Aleksii bozii celovek
Devgenievo dejanie
Slovo o zakone i blagodati
Stradanie Borisa i Gleba

XII C
Zitie Feodosija, igumena pecerskogo
Russkaja Pravda
Slovo Daniila Zatocnika
Slovo o polku igoreve

XIII C
Povest’ o razorenii Rjazani Batyem
Zitie Aleksandra Nevskogo

XIV CC
Povest’ o Petre i Fevronii
Zadonscina

XV C
Skazanie o Drakule
Xozdenie za tri morja Afanasija Nikitina

XVI C
Domostroi
Cin svadebnyi
Perepiska Ivana Groznego I Kurbskogo

XVII C
Povest o Gore i Zlocastii
Povest’ o Savve Grudcyne
Sud Semjakina
Zitie Protopopa Avvakuma
Ulozenie Carja Alekseja Michailovica
Timeline of Russian phonological history.

Prehistorical

0. Loss of nasal vowels.

12th-13th century

1. Fall of jers: loss of /ь/, /ъ/; functional merger of /a/ and /а/, /i/ and /у/, /u/ and /у/, temporarily of /е/ and /о/.


13th-14th century

2. Hardening of /š/, /ž/.

3. Change of /е/ to /о/ when stressed before a hard consonant.

15th century

4. Merger of /о/ and /а/ ("akan'e")

5. Hardening of /c/.

6. Merger of unstressed /е/, /ě/, /о/, /а/ ("ikan'e")

16th-17th century

7. Merger of /ě/ and /е/.